NPIC/P&DS/D/6-1398 31 May 1966

Declass Review by NGA.

25

25

25

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:	Interim Evaluation Report on t	he	Stereoviewer			
			_			
			•			
After	r many delays en route the stere	eoviewer arriv	ved in March of			
1966 and w	vas unpacked and assembled by t	he people in Γ	shop.			
It was set up in Room 18460 but nothing could be done with it until a						
220v. outl	let was provided in the room. '	This was done	about a week			
later and	the equipment was ready for eva	aluation. One	e verv obvious			
short-comi	ing, for our purposes, was the	lack of standa	rd film spool			
brackets.	The device was delivered with	12"-wide film	n holders. Verv			
quickly it	was decided that they should l	be replaced wi	th standard			
	"T-channel" reel bra					
holders an	nd cranks could be mounted. The					
people a f	Cew days after the work was requ	uested.	·			

. I then spent portions of several days getting familiar with the viewer. The following are some hasty reactions to the device, but they are not to be considered definitive until the viewer has been evaluated thoroughly by P.I.'s from PAG and IAD.

Favorable Comments - My overall impression is very favorable. The viewer is a cleanly designed, seemingly simply constructed device which apparently was produced with due attention paid to human engineering considerations. The controls are ingeniously marked with symbols which clearly indicate what each control does. After proper adjustment of the objective lens focus, the zoom optical train stays in focus. The individual eyepieces each have diopter settings marked on their rims, this permits each user to set his individual diopter focus adjustment. The large amount of open work-space over the light table and under the objective lenses would be very nice for some purposes and the provision for viewing opaque stereo-prints is handy, although probably of limited usefulness for NPIC operations.

Unfavorable Comments - The device was designed specifically for viewing roll film stereoscopically and if the film to be viewed is 9" x 9" or $4\frac{1}{2}$ " x $4\frac{1}{2}$ " format with 60% or 70% overlap then the film can be used as is, but the minimum separation in the X direction for the objectives is $3\frac{1}{2}$ and many times adjoining stereo-pairs on $4\frac{1}{2}$ x $4\frac{1}{2}$ format rolls cannot be viewed stereoscopically because the overlap is insufficient. That is, common images on adjoining exposures are less than $3\frac{1}{2}$ " apart. This is more often the case with 70mm format film.

Without a slack loop arrangement, it is impossible to view long format imagery (or imagery in which there are several feet of intervening

> GROUP 1 downgrading and declassification

exposures between the halves of a stereo pair) stereoscopically so long as it remains on the roll, uncut. If however, the film is cut into "chips", even 9" \times 9" chips, then the pairs can be viewed stereoscopically no matter what their original separation was on the uncut roll. However, since the maximum enlargement capability of this viewer is 15 \times , it is very unlikely that this device will displace either the Zoom 70 type or the Twin Dynazoom - type microstereoscopes for viewing chips. The advertised resolution capability of the viewer at three selected magnifications is:

2x	13	1/mm
6x	40	1/mm
13x	100	1/mm

Recommendations - That the device be turned over without further delay to PAG and IAD for a more exhaustive operational-type evaluation. Because it seems to be designed for the type of imagery they often work with, I think the Geographic Branch of PAG is the best group to begin this evaluation.

If the operational units display a genuine interest in this viewer, it is further recommended that modifications be made to it by some local contractor. Among the modifications contemplated are:

- (1) the addition of a film loop capability between the objectives (this implies the fabrication of a completely new light table),
- (2) the extension of the Y travel capabilities of the objectives,
- (3) a similar mechanical modification in the movement of the objectives which would permit them to be moved closer together, or
- (4) instead of modifications (2) and (3) perhaps rhomboid arms could be attached to the objectives to achieve the same effect. Any final decision on modifications must be deferred until the existing equipment has been evaluated by the operational interpreters.

Distribution:

Original - Project File - #99843-5

1 - DB/Chrono

clc

25)